Physicochem. Probl. Miner. Process., 58(6), 2022, 156040

http://www.journalssystem.com/ppmp

# Influence of hydrated Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup> complexes on the sulfidization of smithsonite: Density functional based tight binding (DFTB+) study

Zhiqiang Wu<sup>1</sup>, Xiaoqin Tang<sup>3</sup>, Jianhua Chen<sup>1,2</sup>, Ye Chen<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Resources, Environment and Materials, Guangxi University, Nanning, 530004, China

<sup>2</sup> Guangxi Key Laboratory of Processing for Non-ferrous Metal and Featured Materials, Guangxi University, Nanning, 530004, China

<sup>3</sup> School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Guangxi University, Nanning, 530004, China

Corresponding author: fby18@126.com (Ye Chen)

**Abstract:**  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $Mg^{2+}$  are the most dominating unavoidable ions in the smithsonite flotation. In this paper, the effect of  $Ca^{2+}$  ( $Mg^{2+}$ ) on the surface of smithsonite sulfidization in a system where water molecules are present was investigated using density functional based tight binding (DFTB+) simulations for the first time. The results indicated that the adsorption of hydrated  $Ca^{2+}$  complexes is stronger than that of hydrated  $Mg^{2+}$  complexes on the hydrated smithsonite (101) surface. In addition, at low concentrations of sodium sulfide, there is no adsorption of HS<sup>-</sup> on the surface pre-adsorbed with hydrated  $Ca^{2+}$  complexes, but only on the surface pre-adsorbed with hydrated  $Mg^{2+}$  complexes. At high concentrations of  $Na_2S$ ,  $S^{2-}$  weakens the adsorption of hydrated  $Ca^{2+}$  complexes due to competitive adsorption, but the presence of  $S^{2-}$  could desorb hydrated  $Mg^{2+}$  complexes from the surface. The results compared the differences in effects of  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $Mg^{2+}$  on smithsonite sulfidization, which could provide an atomic scale basis for researching the surface sulfidization of oxide minerals.

Keywords: smithsonite, sulfidization, DFTB+, hydrated, adsorption

#### 1. Introduction

Zinc is one of the most common nonferrous metals with good corrosion resistance and ductility, hence it is widely used in the production of zinc plating and alloys (Ejtemaei et al., 2011). Sulfide minerals are the main source of zinc, however, the resource of zinc sulfide minerals is gradually exhausted after a long period of mining (Irannajad et al., 2009; Feng et al., 2019). Thus, the beneficiation of zinc oxide minerals has become necessary to obtain sources of zinc. Smithsonite is one of the most typical components of zinc oxide minerals, which has important research significance (Ejtemaei et al., 2014). Compared with zinc sulfide minerals, the surface of smithsonite is more hydrophilic (Hales and Frost, 2008; Liu et al., 2019). Therefore, smithsonite is frequently pretreated by sulfidization to produce zinc sulfide on the surface, thereby enhancing the collector adsorption (Kalichini et al., 2017).

According to previous investigations, sulfidization-xanthate flotation and sulfidization-amine flotation are the commonly used method for beneficiation of zinc oxide minerals (Herrera-Urbina and Sotillo, 1998). The optimum pH for zinc oxide minerals flotation with amine as collector is approximately 12; under this condition,  $S^{2-}$  is the dominant species that interacts with zinc oxide minerals (Xie, 2020). As for sulfidization-xanthate flotation, the optimal pH is about 10, and HS<sup>-</sup> mainly interacts with zinc oxide minerals (Feng et al., 2016a, 2016b). However, smithsonite is often associated with calcite (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) and dolomite (CaMg(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), which are easily slimed (Hosseini and Forssberg, 2006; Deng et al., 2018). Moreover, the hard water in many areas also contains Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup> (Liu et al., 2012), hence there could inevitably be a large number of Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup> in the solution (Nunes et al., 2011; Luo et al., 2019).

The reaction mechanism of Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup> with the smithsonite surface is the key issue to achieve efficient sulfidization, and many scholars have discovered that Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup> have similar properties, but their effects on mineral flotation are distinct (Kiersznicki et al., 1981; Feng and Luo, 2013; Abkhoshk

et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2019). Fang et al. (2019) found that the recovery of diaspore hardly changed with the increase of  $Ca^{2+}$  concentration, while  $Mg^{2+}$  had a significant inhibitory effect. Li et al. (2013) demonstrated that  $Mg^{2+}$  had a greater inhibition on the smithsonite flotation. Different from the above views, Araújo and Lima (2017) discovered that  $Ca^{2+}$  causes the smithsonite to be strongly inhibited instead of  $Mg^{2+}$  in the smithsonite flotation. These researches have compared the effects of  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $Mg^{2+}$  on smithsonite recovery by flotation tests; however, these contradictory results for this phenomenon have not been clearly explained.

Density functional theory (DFT) has been widely used, which is an effective method to study the mechanism of mineral surface adsorption from a molecular perspective (Reich and Becker, 2006; Lejaeghere, 2014; Zhao et al., 2015; Luo et al., 2021a; Luo et al., 2021b). Liu et al. (2019) found that  $H_2O$ would strongly interact with S-species on the smithsonite surface. Luo et al. (2021) also confirmed that water molecules have a significant influence on the adsorption of smithsonite. Liu (2020) compared the effects of different carboxylic acid collectors on the smithsonite (101) surface with and without water molecules. Their results showed the existence of  $H_2O$  had a considerable influence on the adsorption of reagents on the smithsonite surface. In addition, previous studies indicated that metal ions are solvated in solution and form complexes with H<sub>2</sub>O (Gowda and Benson, 1983; Teychené et al., 2019; Li et al., 2020). Based on this, Chen (2021) proposed the theory of flotation coordination chemistry and explained that the hydration of metal ions has a significant impact on adsorption. Furthermore, Luo and Chen (2022) compared the results of metal ion adsorption alone and adsorption after hydration, proving that metal ion hydration on mineral surfaces is more reliable. Nevertheless, the influence of hydrated metal ions on the smithsonite sulfidization is complicated, which makes it difficult for DFT to simulate. The density functional based tight binding (DFTB+) not only retains the accuracy of density functional theory (DFT) but also has the efficiency of tight binding method (TB) (Elstner et al., 1998; Selli et al., 2017), which could greatly reduce the cost of calculation while ensuring the accuracy of calculation (Seifert, 2007; Choi, 2013; Brandenburg and Grimme, 2014).

In this paper, DFTB+ method was used to investigate the adsorption of hydrated  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $Mg^{2+}$  complexes on smithsonite at the atomic scale. In particular, the difference in the effect of  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $Mg^{2+}$  on smithsonite sulfidization is compared, which provided a new light for studying the influence of metal ions on zinc oxide flotation.

### 2. Models and computational details

The optimization of smithsonite (101) surface and its surface adsorption was undertaken by performing density functional theory of tight binding (DFTB+) method (Frenzel, 2004). The 3ob set of Slater-Koster and dispersion correction were applied to simulation. The Monkhorst-Pack scheme was used for Brillouin zone sampling, and the k point gridding was selected as  $2 \times 2 \times 1$  (Monkhorst and Pack, 1976). The convergence tolerances for calculation were set as (a) maximum energy of  $8.37 \times 10^{-2} \text{ kJ/mol}$ , (b) maximum displacement of  $1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Å}$ , (c) maximum force of  $4.18 \times 10^{-1} \text{ kJ/mol} \times \text{Å}^{-1}$ .

It is well acknowledged that smithsonite (101) surface has the lowest surface energy and is the most stable surface (Zhen et al., 2017), hence smithsonite (101) surface was selected for this study. In order to obtain smithsonite (101) surface, cleaving was carried out on the basis of optimized bulk. The calculated lattice parameters of bulk ZnCO<sub>3</sub> were a = b = 4.83 Å and c = 15.31 Å, which were close to the experimental value a = b = 4.65 Å and c = 15.03 Å. After the slab thickness was tested, the (3 × 3 × 1) smithsonite (101) surface supercell with 7 atomic layers was constructed and separated by a 25 Å vacuum and the supercell of ZnCO<sub>3</sub> slab had a dimension of  $17.45 \times 14.50 \times 38.21$  Å<sup>3</sup>, which was adequate for the surface sulfidization and reagent adsorption, as shown in Fig. 1. For the smithsonite (101) surface, the four outermost atomic layers of the substrate were allowed to relax, while the three bottom-most atomic layers were fixed to the bulk coordinates.

Before adsorption, the water molecules (H<sub>2</sub>O), calcium ion (Ca<sup>2+</sup>), magnesium ion (Mg<sup>2+</sup>), and sodium sulfide (Na<sub>2</sub>S) were pre-optimized in a 15 × 15 × 15 Å<sup>3</sup> cubic cell. Adsorption energy of the adsorbate on smithsonite (101) surface could be calculated by the equation below:

$$\Lambda E_{ads} = E_{surf+adsorbate} - E_{surf} - E_{adsorbate}$$
(1)

where  $\Delta E_{ads}$  is the adsorption energy, kJ/mol;  $E_{surf+adsorbate}$  denotes the addition energy of smithsonite surface containing the adsorbate molecules after optimization, kJ/mol;  $E_{surf}$  represents the energy of the

smithsonite surface after optimization, kJ/mol; and *E*<sub>adsorbate</sub> is the energy of the adsorbate after optimization, kJ/mol.



Fig. 1. The slab model of seven atomic layers smithsonite (101) surface after relaxation

### 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. The adsorption of Ca<sup>2+</sup> (Mg<sup>2+</sup>) on the hydrated smithsonite (101) surface

In our previous study on the influence of multi-layer water molecules on the change of surface structure and electronic properties of smithsonite, it was found that when three layers of water molecules were adsorbed on the smithsonite (101) surface, the water molecules at the bottom dissociated into hydroxide ions and hydrogen ions, and hydroxide ions interacted with the smithsonite surface Zn sites, which can be regarded as forming a layer of zinc hydroxide, and accordingly result in the surface hydroxylation (Chen et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2020; Cui et al., 2021).

Nine OH<sup>-</sup> were added to the smithsonite (101) surface to construct the hydrated smithsonite (101) surface. This optimized geometric configuration is depicted in Fig. 2. The interaction distance among OH-O with smithsonite surface Zn atoms on the smithsonite (101) surface is 2.028 Å, which is slightly smaller than the addition of atomic radii of Zn atom and O atom (2.140 Å), verifying that the optimized configuration is consistent with our previous research results (Chen et al., 2018).



Fig. 2. Hydrated surface of smithsonite (101) (The Å is a unit of distance)

The effect of Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup> on the hydrated smithsonite (101) surface is investigated. Fig. 3 (a) and (b) show the optimized geometric configurations. It is found that Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup> adsorb on the hydrated smithsonite (101) surface via the interaction with OH-O atoms and smithsonite surface O atoms. For Ca<sup>2+</sup>, the Ca-O interaction distances are 2.226 Å, 2.347 Å and 2.224 Å, respectively, which are close to the addition of atomic radii of Ca and O atoms (2.400 Å). The bonding distances of Mg-O for Mg<sup>2+</sup> are 1.986 Å, 2.013 Å and 2.023 Å, which are much smaller than the addition of atomic radii of Mg and O atoms (2.260 Å). The calculated adsorption energies of Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup> on the smithsonite surface are - 340.32 kJ/mol and -246.77 kJ/mol. All these results indicate that Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup> could strongly adsorb

on the hydrated smithsonite (101) surface, but the adsorption of  $Ca^{2+}$  is stronger than  $Mg^{2+}$ . However, it is well known that  $Mg^{2+}$  has little effect on the flotation of smithsonite, and only a small amount of  $Mg^{2+}$ can be adsorbed on the surface (Deng et al., 2018). The above simulation results are not consistent with the results of flotation practice. Meanwhile, considering that the metal ions in the solution are unstable, the adsorption of hydrated  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $Mg^{2+}$  complexes is further considered.



Fig. 3. The optimized geometric configurations of (a) Ca<sup>2+</sup> and (b) Mg<sup>2+</sup> adsorbed on the hydrated smithsonite (101) surface (The Å is a unit of distance)

### 3.2. The adsorption of hydrated Ca<sup>2+</sup> (Mg<sup>2+</sup>) complexes on the hydrated smithsonite (101) surface

According to the coordination chemistry of flotation,  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $Mg^{2+}$  could form stable magnesium-ion-hexahydrate and calcium-ion-hexahydrate in aqueous solutions, respectively, changing the properties and structure of ions. Therefore, the hydration coordination numbers of calcium and magnesium ions in aqueous solutions were investigated. The hydrated metal complexes,  $(Ca (H_2O)_6]^{2+}$  and  $[Mg (H_2O)_6]^{2+}$ , are shown in Fig. 4.

The Ca<sup>2+</sup> could combine with six surrounding water molecules to form the [Ca (H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> ion with a regular octahedral structure, as depicted in Fig. 4(a). The Ca<sup>2+</sup> interacts with O atoms in water molecules with an interacting distance around of 2.247 Å, which is smaller than the addition of the atomic radius of Ca and O atoms (2.400 Å), indicating that the Ca<sup>2+</sup> cooperates with water molecules to form the stable hydrated Ca<sup>2+</sup> complexes ([Ca (H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>). The optimized [Mg (H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> structure is depicted in Fig. 4(b). The Mg<sup>2+</sup> reacts with O atoms of six water molecules with an interacting distance of around 2.093 Å, which is close to the addition of the radius of Mg and O atoms (2.260 Å), indicating that the hydrated Mg<sup>2+</sup> complexes ([Mg (H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>) formed are stable.



Fig. 4. Hydration structure model of  $Ca^{2+}$  (Mg<sup>2+</sup>) complexes: (a) [Ca (H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>, (b) [Mg (H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>

To further investigate the effect of Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup> on the smithsonite flotation, the adsorption of hydrated Ca<sup>2+</sup> complexes and hydrated Mg<sup>2+</sup> complexes on the hydrated smithsonite (101) surface is simulated. The geometric configurations of hydrated Ca2+ and Mg2+ complexes after adsorption are shown in Fig. 5(a) and (b). The adsorption energy after the adsorption of  $[Ca (H_2O)_6]^{2+}$  on smithsonite (101) surface is -182.067 kJ/mol. The results indicate that hydrated  $Ca^{2+}$  complexes could stably adsorb on the hydrated smithsonite (101) surface. It can be seen from Fig. 5(a), the distance between H<sub>2</sub>O and the Ca<sup>2+</sup> elongates to varying degrees and the average coordination distance of Ca-O is increased to 2.267 Å. In addition, the bonds of three water molecules and Ca<sup>2+</sup> are broken, and the distances between the Ca<sup>2+</sup> and O atoms vary from 2.247 Å to 2.720 Å, 2.754 Å and 2.843 Å, indicating that three water molecules are dissociated and the  $[Ca(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$  have become  $[Ca(H_2O)_3]^{2+}$ . At the same time, the [Ca(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> interacts with two hydroxyl groups on the hydrated smithsonite (101) surface to form Ca-O bonds with bond lengths of 2.295 Å and 2.282 Å, and also with an oxygen atom on the surface to constitute a Ca-O bond with the length of 2.420 Å, which are significantly smaller than the addition of atomic radius of Ca and O atom (2.400 Å). Therefore, it can be considered that the stable six-coordination structure of  $[Ca(OH)_2(H_2O)_3]$  could be generated after the adsorption of hydrated Ca<sup>2+</sup> complexes on the hydrated smithsonite (101) surface. It is confirmed by the SEM-EDS results that Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> is found on the smithsonite surface after flotation (Chen et al, 2019).

As could be seen from Fig. 5(b), after the adsorption of hydrated Mg<sup>2+</sup> complexes, the adsorption energy after the adsorption of  $[Mg(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$  on smithsonite (101) surface is -66.489 kJ/mol. This indicates that only a weak mutual effect occurs between hydrated Mg<sup>2+</sup> ion and hydrated smithsonite (101) surface. Meanwhile, the distance between H<sub>2</sub>O and the Mg<sup>2+</sup> also elongates to varying degrees and the average coordination distance of Mg-O is increased to 2.081 Å. And the two water molecules break bonds with the magnesium ion and the interacting distances between O and Mg atom are as far as 2.720 Å and 2.843 Å, suggesting that only two water molecules are dissociated and the  $[Mg(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$  have become  $[Mg(H_2O)_4]^{2+}$ . Furthermore, the hydrated Mg<sup>2+</sup> complexes interact with two hydroxyl groups on the hydrated smithsonite (101) surface to form two Mg-O bonds with the lengths of 2.187 Å and 2.196 Å, which are slightly smaller than the addition of atomic radius of Mg and O atom (2.260 Å). The results show that the stable six-coordination structure of  $[Mg(OH)_2(H_2O)_4]$  could be formed when hydrated Mg<sup>2+</sup> complexes are adsorbed on hydrated Smithsonite (101) surface. The above results indicate that both hydrated Ca<sup>2+</sup> complexes and hydrated Mg<sup>2+</sup> complexes could adsorb on the smithsonite in the pulp, but the adsorption of hydrated Ca<sup>2+</sup> complexes is much stronger than hydrated Mg<sup>2+</sup> complexes.



Fig. 5. The optimized geometric configurations of (a) hydrated Ca<sup>2+</sup> complexes and (b) hydrated Mg<sup>2+</sup> complexes adsorbed on the hydrated smithsonite (101) surface (The Å is a unit of distance)

The density of states (DOS) is a significant parameter to describe the state of electron motion, which can be used to analyze the reactivity of atomic orbitals (Diwaker, 2014; Fung et al, 2022). In general, the localization decreases as the band widens, showing that the peak of the density of states becomes gentler. Fig. 6 presents the DOS of Ca in the hydrated Ca<sup>2+</sup> complexes atom and O in the hydrated smithsonite (101) surface before and after adsorption. Before adsorption, the partial density of states (PDOS) of hydrated smithsonite (101) surface-O 2s orbital is located at deep energy levels ( $-20 \sim -15 \text{ eV}$ ), suggesting this orbital is hard to be involved in the interaction. Hydrated smithsonite (101) surface-O 2p orbital and Ca 3s orbital and Ca 3p occupy the orbital electrons of the upper valence band near the Fermi level  $(E_{\rm F})$ , indicating these orbitals are more likely to participate in the interaction. After adsorption of hydrated Ca<sup>2+</sup> complexes, it is found that the PDOS of hydrated smithsonite (101) surface-O and -Zn atoms are changed observably. The PDOS of Ca<sup>2+</sup> has shifted to a deeper level with a larger span, from  $-3.80 \sim -1.40$  eV to  $-5.40 \sim 0$  eV, which indicates the PDOS of Ca<sup>2+</sup> becomes more broadening and delocalization after adsorption. Near  $E_F$ , there is a strong overlap between Ca 3p state and O 2p state at  $-5.40 \sim -2.30$  eV, which indicates Ca 3p orbitals prefer a mild PDOS and consequently are delocalized and overlapped with O 2p orbitals showing a strong bonding after adsorption. The O 2p state and Ca 3s state are hybridized at -5.40 ~ -2.10 eV. The result indicates a great Ca-O interaction between hydrated Ca<sup>2+</sup> complexes and hydrated smithsonite (101) surface.



Fig. 6. DOS of hydrated calcium complexes Ca atom and hydrated smithsonite (101) surface O atom before and after interaction

The DOS of hydrated Mg<sup>2+</sup> complexes Mg atom and hydrated smithsonite (101) surface O atom before and after the adsorption in the existence of water molecules is shown in Fig. 7. It can be found that Mg 2s orbital overlaps with O 2p orbital, indicating that hydrated Mg<sup>2+</sup> complexes can be adsorbed on the surface. After adsorption, the PDOS of Mg-O peak that shifts toward a deep energy level implies a weak activity for the bonding reaction, and Mg 2s orbital overlaps with O 2p orbital to a small range, with a hybridization peak recorded at -5.00 eV ~ -2.50 eV, indicating a weak bonding reaction. Meanwhile, compared with the adsorption of the hydrated Ca<sup>2+</sup> complexes on the hydrated smithsonite (101) surface (Fig. 6), the results suggest that the adsorption of hydrated Mg<sup>2+</sup> complexes is weaker than hydrated Ca<sup>2+</sup> complexes.

# 3.3. The influence of hydrated Ca<sup>2+</sup> (Mg<sup>2+</sup>) complexes with HS<sup>-</sup> as the main component on sulfidization

Due to the inherent floatability of smithsonite being poor, a large amount of sodium sulfide is needed for sufficient sulfidization before adding amine reagents, thus transforming the surface of oxidized minerals into the surface of sulfide minerals and favoring the adsorption of amine reagents on the smithsonite surface (Bai et al., 2020; Luo et al, 2020). Fig. 8 presents the composition distribution of Na<sub>2</sub>S aqueous solutions as a function of pH. It is found that HS- is the main component in the Na<sub>2</sub>S aqueous



Fig. 7. DOS of hydrated magnesium complexes Mg atom and hydrated smithsonite (101) surface O atom before and after interaction



Fig. 8. Composition distribution of Na<sub>2</sub>S in solution with pH changes

solution when the pH is in the range of 7-11, and S<sup>2-</sup> in the solution increases rapidly when the pH > 11. In order to study the influence of Ca<sup>2+</sup> (Mg<sup>2+</sup>) on the sulfidization of smithsonite under different concentrations of Na<sub>2</sub>S, the reaction of HS<sup>-</sup> and S<sup>2-</sup> on the hydrated smithsonite (101) surface preadsorbed with hydrated Ca<sup>2+</sup> complexes and hydrated Mg<sup>2+</sup> complexes are simulated.

At low concentrations of Na<sub>2</sub>S, the pH of the solution is generally weak alkaline, and HS<sup>-</sup> is the main component of Na<sub>2</sub>S in solution. Therefore, six HS<sup>-</sup> were added to the hydrated smithsonite (101) surface pre-adsorbed with hydrated Ca<sup>2+</sup> complexes and hydrated Mg<sup>2+</sup> complexes. The optimized adsorption geometric configurations are shown in Fig. 9(a) and (b), respectively.

As depicted in Fig. 9 (a), the pre-adsorbed hydrated  $Ca^{2+}$  complexes result in the inability of HS<sup>-</sup> to interact with Zn atoms on the hydrated smithsonite (101) surface. At the same time, the existence of HS-leads to the average interaction distance between hydrated  $Ca^{2+}$  complexes Ca and hydrated smithsonite (101) surface O elongating from 2.332 Å to 2.359 Å which is still smaller than the addition of atomic radius of Ca and O (2.400 Å), proving that HS<sup>-</sup> ions weaken the interaction between the hydrated  $Ca^{2+}$  complexes and the surface, but cannot desorb hydrated  $Ca^{2+}$  complexes from the surface. Therefore HS<sup>-</sup> cannot produce effective sulfidization on the smithsonite surface.

It can be seen from Fig. 9 (b) that after adsorption of HS<sup>-</sup>, the bonding reaction distance between hydrated Mg<sup>2+</sup> complexes Mg and surface O atoms is as far as 3.155 Å, which is much greater than the addition of atomic radius of Mg and O atom (2.260 Å), suggesting that the hydrated Mg<sup>2+</sup> complexes are desorbed from the surface under the influence of HS<sup>-</sup>. After desorption of hydrated Mg<sup>2+</sup> complexes, the distance between HS<sup>-</sup>S atom and surface Zn atom is reduced to 2.399 Å, which is close to the addition of atomic radius of Zn and S (2.370 Å), indicating that HS<sup>-</sup> can react with surface Zn atom, in which the surface is pre-adsorbed by the hydrated Mg<sup>2+</sup> complexes.



Fig. 9. The optimized geometric configuration of six HS<sup>-</sup> adsorbed on the hydrated smithsonite (101) surface with (a) hydrated Ca<sup>2+</sup> complexes and (b) hydrated Mg<sup>2+</sup> complexes (The Å is a unit of distance)

# 3.4. The influence of hydrated Ca<sup>2+</sup> (Mg<sup>2+</sup>) complexes with S<sup>2-</sup> as the main component on sulfidization

As for further investigation of the influence of  $Ca^{2+} (Mg^{2+})$  on the smithsonite sulfidization under a high concentration of  $Na_2S$  condition (pH > 12), the presence of S<sup>2-</sup> should be considered. The reaction of S<sup>2-</sup> on the smithsonite surface pre-adsorbed with the hydrated  $Ca^{2+}$  complexes is conducted and the optimized geometric configuration is shown in Fig. 10. After the adsorption of S<sup>2-</sup> on the surface, the bonding reaction distance of S<sup>2-</sup> and surface Zn atoms is 2.391 Å, which is close to the addition of atomic radius of Zn and S (2.370 Å). And it is proved that S<sup>2-</sup> can adsorb on the surface pre-adsorbed with the hydrated  $Ca^{2+}$  complexes, which is in accord with the excess  $Na_2S$  required for effective sulfidization in flotation practice

The optimized geometry of six S<sup>2-</sup> reacting with the hydrated smithsonite (101) surface pre-adsorbed with the hydrated Mg<sup>2+</sup> complexes is shown in Fig. 10. After the adsorption of S<sup>2-</sup> on the surface, the average coordination distance between S<sup>2-</sup> and surface Zn atoms is 2.409 Å which indicates that S<sup>2-</sup> reacts with Zn, and part of Zn-S structure forms at the surface. In addition, due to the adsorption of S<sup>2-</sup> on the surface, hydrated Mg<sup>2+</sup> complexes are completely desorbed from the surface. Compared with hydrated Ca<sup>2+</sup> complexes, the adsorption of S<sup>2-</sup> on the hydrated smithsonite (101) surface is almost not affected by hydrated Mg<sup>2+</sup> complexes, but competitive adsorption occurs between hydrated Ca<sup>2+</sup> complexes and S<sup>2-</sup>, which is consistent with the smithsonite flotation practice that the existence of Ca<sup>2+</sup> could affect the flotation recovery of smithsonite (Sun et al, 2019).



Fig. 10. The optimized geometry of six S<sup>2-</sup> adsorbed on the hydrated smithsonite (101) surface with the hydrated Ca<sup>2+</sup> complexes (The Å is a unit of distance)



Fig. 11. The optimized geometry of six S<sup>2-</sup> adsorbed on the hydrated smithsonite (101) surface with the hydrated Mg<sup>2+</sup> complexes (The Å is a unit of distance)

### 4. Conclusions

To sum up, hydration should be considered regarding adsorption of  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $Mg^{2+}$  with the smithsonite surface. The adsorption of the hydrated  $Ca^{2+}$  complexes on hydrated smithsonite (101) surface indicates that the hydrated  $Ca^{2+}$  complexes dissociate three water molecules to form  $[Ca(H_2O)_3]^{2+}$  and interact with the surface to form the hydrated calcium hydroxide ( $[Ca(OH)_2(H_2O)_3]$ ), which confirms that  $Ca^{2+}$ can adsorb stably on the smithsonite surface and change its structure. Similar to the hydrated  $Ca^{2+}$ complexes, the hydrated  $Mg^{2+}$  complexes also desorb two water molecules to generate ( $[Mg(H_2O)_4]^{2+}$ ) and adsorb with the surface to form the hydrated magnesium hydroxide ( $[Mg(OH)_2(H_2O)_4]$ ). In addition, the adsorption strength of hydrated  $Mg^{2+}$  complexes is weaker than the hydrated  $Ca^{2+}$ complexes.

Under low concentrations of Na<sub>2</sub>S, the sulfidization process of HS- on the smithsonite surface is hard to be carried out due to the pre-adsorption of hydrated Ca<sup>2+</sup> complexes on the surface. Oppositely, the pre-adsorption of hydrated Mg<sup>2+</sup> complexes does not affect the sulfidization process of HS<sup>-</sup>. Under high concentrations of Na<sub>2</sub>S, the presence of S<sup>2-</sup> partially desorb hydrated Ca<sup>2+</sup> complexes pre-adsorbed on the surface, indicating that hydrated Ca<sup>2+</sup> complexes produce some influence on the sulfidization process, but cannot completely depress this process. For Mg<sup>2+</sup>, however, the presence of S<sup>2-</sup> causes complete desorption of hydrated Mg<sup>2+</sup> complexes from the surface. The results could provide a microscopic basis for the effect of Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup> on the process of smithsonite sulfidization.

### Acknowledgments

This research was funded by National Natural Science Foundation of People's Republic of China (NSFC 52174246, NSFC 51864003). The authors are thankful for these supports.

## References

- ABKHOSHK, E., JORJANI, E., AL-HARAHSHEH, M., RASHCHI, F., NAAZERI, M., 2014. Review of the hydrometallurgical processing of non-sulfide zinc ores. Hydrometallurgy. 149, 153–167.
- ARAÚJO, A.C.A., LIMA, R.M.F., 2017. Influence of cations Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup> and Zn<sup>2+</sup> on the flotation and surface charge of smithsonite and dolomite with sodium oleate and sodium silicate. Int. J. Miner. Process. 167, 35–41.
- BAI, X., LIU, J., WEN, S., WANG, Y., LIN, Y., 2020. Effect of Ammonium Salt on the Stability of Surface Sulfide Layer of Smithsonite and its Flotation Performance. Appl. Surf. Sci. 514, 145851-1–145851-6.
- BRANDENBURG, J.G., GRIMME, S., 2014. Accurate modeling of organic molecular crystals by dispersion-corrected density functional tight binding (DFTB). J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 5, 1785–1789.
- CHEN, J.H., 2021. The interaction of flotation reagents with metal ions in mineral surfaces: A perspective from coordination chemistry. Miner. Eng. 171, 107067-1–107067-15.
- CHEN, J.H., LONG, X.H., ZHAO, C.H., KANG, D., GUO, J., 2014. DFT calculation on relaxation and electronic structure of sulfide minerals surfaces in presence of H<sub>2</sub>O molecule. J. Cent. South Univ. 21, 3945–3954.
- CHEN, Y.F., ZHANG, G.F., WANG, M.T., SHI, Q., LIU, D.Z., QI, 2019. Utilization of sodium carbonate to eliminate the adverse effect of Ca<sup>2+</sup> on smithsonite sulphidisation flotation. Miner. Eng. 132, 121–125.

- CHEN, Y., LIU, M., CHEN, J.H., LI, Y.Q., ZHAO, C.H., MU, X., 2018. A density functional based tight binding (DFTB+) study on the sulfidization-amine flotation mechanism of smithsonite. Appl. Surf. Sci. 458, 454–463.
- CHOI, T.H., LIANG, R., MAUPIN, C.M., VOTH, G.A., 2013. Application of the SCC-DFTB method to hydroxide water clusters and aqueous hydroxide solutions. J. Phys. Chem. B. 117, 5165–5179.
- CUI, W.Y., CHEN, J.H., 2021. Insight into mineral flotation fundamentals through the DFT method. Int. J. Min. Sci. Technol. 36(6), 983–994.
- DENG, R.D., HU, Y., KU, J.G., MA, Y.Q., YANG, Z.G., 2018. Ion migration law in flotation pulp and its influence on the separation of smithsonite and quartz. Sep. Sci. Technol. 53(5), 833–841.
- DIWAKER, 2014. Spectroscopic (FT-IR, H-1, C-13 NMR, UV), DOS and orbital overlap population analysis of copper complex of (E)-4-(2-(4-nitrophenyl) diazenyl)-N, N bis ((pyridin-2-yl) methyl) benzamine by density functional theory. Spectrochim. Acta, Part A. 136, 1932-1940.
- EJTEMAEI, M., GHARABAGHIB, M., IRANNAJAD, M., 2014. A review of zinc oxide mineral beneficiation using flotation method. Adv. Colloid Interface Sci. 206 (1), 68–78.
- EJTEMAEI, M., IRANNAJAD, M., GHARABAGHI, M., 2011. Influence of important factors on flotation of zinc oxide mineral using cationic, anionic and mixed (cationic/anionic) collectors. Miner. Eng. 24, 1402–1408.
- ELSTNER, M., POREZAG, D., JUNGNICKEL, G., ELSNER, J., HAUGK, M., FRAUENHEIM, TH., SUHAI, S., SEIFERT, G., 1998. *Self-consistent-charge density-functional tight-binding method for simulations of complex materials properties*. Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys. 58, 7260–7268.
- FANG, C.J., YU, S.C., WEI, X.Y., PENG, H., OU, L., ZHANG, G., WANG, J. 2019. The cation effect on adsorption of surfactant in the froth flotation of low-grade diasporic bauxite. Miner. Eng. 144, 106051-1–106051-7.
- FENG, B., LUO, X.P., 2013. The solution chemistry of carbonate and implications for pyrite flotation. Miner. Eng. 53, 181– 183.
- FENG, Q.C., WEN, S.M., BAI, X., CHANG, W.H., CUI, C.F., ZHAO, W.J., 2019. Surface modification of smithsonite with ammonia to enhance the formation of sulfidization products and its response to flotation. Miner. Eng. 137, 1–9.
- FENG, Q.C., WEN, S.M., CAO, Q.B., DENG, J.S., ZHAO, W.J., 2016a. The effect of chloride ions on the activity of cerussite surfaces. Minerals. 6, 92.
- FENG, Q.C., WEN, S.M., ZHAO, W.J., DENG, J.S., XIAN, Y.J., 2016b. Adsorption of sulfide ions on cerussite surfaces and implications for flotation. Appl. Surf. Sci. 360, 365–372.
- FUNG, V., GANESH, P., SUMPTER, B.G., 2022. Physically Informed Machine Learning Prediction of Electronic Density of States. Chem. Mater. 34, 4848-4855.
- FRENZEL, J., OLIVEIRA, A.F., JARDILLIER, N., 2004. Semi-relativistic, self-consistent charge Slater-Koster tables for density-functional based tight-binding (DFTB) for materials science simulations. TU-Dresden.
- GOWDA, B. T., BENSON, S.W., 1983. lon-water interaction potentials for alkali metal cations and halide anions. J. Chem. Phys. 79, 1235–1242.
- HALES, M.C., FROST, R.L., 2008. *Thermal analysis of smithsonite and hydrozincite*. J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 91, 855–860.
- HERRERA-URBINA, R., SOTILLO, F.J., 1998. D.W. Fuerstenau, Amyl xanthate uptake by natural and sulfide-treated cerussite and galena. Int. J. Miner. Process. 55, 113–128.
- HOSSEINI, S.H., FORSSBERG, E., 2006. *Smithsonite flotation using potassium amyl xanthate and hexylmercaptan*. Miner. Process. Extr. Metall. Rev. 115, 107–112.
- IRANNAJAD, M., EJTEMAEI, M., GHARABAGHI, M., 2009. The effect of reagents on selective flotation of smithsonite calcite quartz. Miner. Eng. 22, 766–771.
- KALICHINI, M., CORINI, K.C., O'CONNORI, C.T., SIMUKANGAII, S., 2017. The role of pulp potential and the sulphidization technique in the recovery of sulphide and oxide copper minerals from a complex ore. J. South. Afr. Inst. Min. Metall. 117 (8), 803–810.
- KIERSZNICKI, T., MAJEWSKI, J., MZYK, J., 1981. 5-alkylsalicylaldoximes as collectors in flotation of sphalerite, smithsonite and dolomite in a Hallimond tube. Int. J. Miner. Process. 7, 311–318.
- LEJAEGHERE, K., VAN SPEYBROECK, V., VAN OOST, G., COTTENIER, S., 2014. Error Estimates for Solid-State Density-Functional Theory Predictions: An Overview by Means of the Ground-State Elemental Crystals. Crit. Rev. Solid State Mater. Sci. 39, 1–24.
- LI, H.L., XU, W.N., JIA, F.F., LI, J.B., SONG, S.X., NAHMAD, Y., 2020. Correlation between surface charge and hydration on mineral surfaces in aqueous solutions: A critical review. Int. J. Miner. Metall. Mater. 27, 857–871.

- LI, M.X., JIAN, S., ZHAO, W.J., 2013. Effect of Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup> on Floatability of Smithsonite and Hemimorphite. Adv. Mater. Res. 813, 298–301.
- LIU, C., ZHANG, W.C., SONG, S.X., LI, H.Q., LIU, Y., 2019. Flotation separation of smithsonite from calcite using 2-phosphonobutane-1,2,4-tricarboxylic acid as a depressant. Powder. Technol. 352, 11–15.
- LIU, J., ZENG, Y., EJTEMAEI, M., NGUYEN, A.V., WANG, Y., WEN, S.M., 2019. DFT simulation of S-species interaction with smithsonite (0 0 1) surface: Effect of water molecule adsorption position. Results Phys. 15, 102575-1-102575-5.
- LIU, M., CHEN, J.H., CHEN, Y., ZHU, Y.G., 2020. Interaction between smithsonite and carboxyl collectors with different molecular structure in the presence of water: A theoretical and experimental study. Appl. Surf. Sci. 510, 145410-1– 145410-10.
- LIU, W.L., SUN, W., HU, Y.H., 2012. Effects of water hardness on selective flocculation of diasporic bauxite. Trans, Nonferrous Met. Soc. China 22, 2248–2254.
- LUO, A.R., CHEN, J.H., 2022. Effect of hydration and hydroxylation on the adsorption of metal ions on quartz surfaces: DFT study. Appl. Surf. Sci. 595, 153553-1–153553-12.
- LUO, Y.J., OU, L.M., CHEN, J.H., ZHANG, G.F., JIN, S.Z., XIA, Y.Q., ZHU, B.H., ZHOU, H.Y., 2021a. DFT insights into the sulfidation mechanism of Fe-impurity smithsonite. Miner. Eng. 170, 107057-1–107057-10.
- LUO, Y.J., OU, L.M., CHEN, J.H., ZHANG, G.F., XIA, Y.Q., ZHU, B.H., ZHOU, H.Y., 2021b. Effects of defects and impurities on the adsorption of H<sub>2</sub>O on smithsonite (101) surfaces: Insight from DFT-D and MD. Colloids Surf., A. 628, 127300-1–127300-13.
- LUO, Y.J., OU, L.M., ZHANG, G.F., CHEN, J.H., LI, Y.Q., SHI, Q., ZHU, B.H., XIA, Y.Q., CHEN, S.Y., ZHANG, Z.J., MAI, Q.Y., Zhou, H., Zhou, H.Y., 2021. *The effect of surface vacancy on adsorption of HS on smithsonite (1 0 1) surface: A DFT study*. Colloids Surf., A. 624, 126713-1–126713-13.
- LUO, Y.J., ZHANG, G.F., LI, C., MAI, Q.Y., LIU, H.J., ZHOU, H., SHI, Q., 2019. Flotation separation of smithsonite from calcite using a new depressant fenugreek gum. Colloids Surf., A. 582, 123794-1-123794-7.
- LUO, Y.J., ZHANG, G.F., MAI, Q., LIU, H.J., LI, C.B., FENG, H.G., 2020. Flotation separation of smithsonite from calcite using depressant sodium alginate and mixed cationic/anionic collectors. Colloids Surf., A. 586, 124227-1–124227-8.
- MONKHORST, H.J., PACK, J.D., 1976. Special points for Brillouin-zone integrations. Phys. Rev. B. 13, 5188–5192.
- NUNES, A.P.L., PERES, A.E.C., DE ARAUJO, A.C., VALADÃO, G.E.S., 2011. Electrokinetic properties of wavellite and its floatability with cationic and anionic collectors. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 361, 632–638.
- REICH, M., BECKER, U., 2006. First-principles calculations of the thermodynamic mixing properties of arsenic incorporation into pyrite and marcasite. Chem. Geol. 225, 278–290.
- SEIFERT, G., 2007. *Tight-binding density functional theory: an approximate Kohn-Sham DFT scheme.* J. Phys. Chem. A. 111, 5609–5613.
- SELLI, D., FAZIO, G., SEIFERT, G., VALENTIN, C.D., 2017. Water multilayers on TiO2 (101) anatase surface: assessment of a DFTB-Based Method, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 13, 3862–3873.
- SUN, Q., FENG, Q.M., SHI, Q., 2019. Effect of depressants in the selective flotation of smithsonite and calcite using potassium lauryl phosphate as collector. Physicochem. Probl. Miner. Process. 55(1), 89–96.
- TEYCHENÉ, J., ROUX-DE BALMANN, H., MARON, L., GALIER, S., 2019. Investigation of ions hydration using molecular modeling. J. Mol. Liq. 294, 111394-1–111394-11.
- XIE, H.Y., SUN, R., WU, J.Z., FENG, D.X., GAO, L.K., 2020. A Case Study of Enhanced Sulfidization Flotation of Lead Oxide Ore: Influence of Depressants. Minerals. 10, 95–108.
- ZHAO, C.H., CHEN, J.H., LI, Y.Q., DE, W., LI, W.Z., 2015. DFT study of interactions between calcium hydroxyl ions and pyrite, marcasite, pyrrhotite surfaces. Appl. Surf. Sci. 355, 577–581.
- ZHEN, W., XU, L.H., WANG, J.M., WANG, L., XIAO, J.H., 2017. A comparison study of adsorption of benzohydroxamic acid and amyl xanthate on smithsonite with dodecylamine as co-collector. Appl. Surf. Sci. 426, 1141–1147.